DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSIONE VED

Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL (XINGERICATIONS COMMISSION FFECE OF ARCHETANY
PR Docket No	
RM-8117, RM	1 -8030,
RM-8029	
)
	/

PP Docket No. 93-253

To: The Commission

800 MHz SMR

In the Matter of

and

Amendment of Part 90 of the Commission's Rules to Facilitate Future Development of

Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act -- Competitive Bidding

SMR Systems in the 800 MHz Frequency Band

COMMENTS

Marc Sobel d/b/a Airwave Communications (Airwave), by its attorneys, hereby submits its Comments in the above-captioned matter. Airwave opposes the adoption of the proposals contained within the FNPRM. Insofar as Airwave's Reply Comments to the matter from which this FNPRM was derived are relevant, those Reply Comments are hereby incorporated herein, see, attached.

Airwave would like to voice its opposition to the Commission plan to divide the country along Metropolitan Trading Area lines and auction 200 of the currentlyallotted SMR frequencies to the winning bidder. It is Airwave's belief that such a

plan is impractical and unworkable, and if attempted, would injure the already established SMR industry.

Respectfully submitted, MARC SOBEL d/b/a AIRWAVE COMMUNICATIONS

Kathleen A. Kaercher

By

Brown and Schwaninger

Suite 650

1835 K Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006

202/223-8837

Dated: January 5, 1995

ORIGINAL

Before the DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	GN Docket No. 93-252
Implementation of Sections 3(n) and 332 of)	
the Communications Act)	OFF
)	AECENED
Regulatory Treatment of Mobile Services)	_
To: The Commission		[IIII] 1 1994
10: The Commission		The same of the same
		The state of the s

REPLY COMMENTS

Marc Sobel d/b/a Airwave Communications (Airwave), by his attorneys, hereby files its Reply Comments in the above captioned matter. In support of its position, Airwave shows the following.

Airwave Has A Direct Interest In Opposing The Nextel Suggestion

Airwave is an operator of SMR-Conventional systems in the Los Angeles, California, area. Airwave provides traditional SMR service to a variety of small business end users. Because Airwave's radio systems operate on frequencies in the General Category (851.0125 - 854.7375 MHz), Airwave is intimately familiar with the existing usage of the General Category channels in the Los Angeles area, which is Nextel's initial ESMR demonstration market.

Although Nextel proposes to relocate existing SMRs which are currently authorized to operate in the 861-866 MHz band, all 800 MHz band frequencies are currently assigned in the Los Angeles area and none would be available to be used in Nextel's relocation plan. There are, in fact, already too many stations assigned on the General Category channels to allow all to operate without suffering harmful interference. The excessive number of stations in the band

No. of Copies recid Of C

results from earlier actions of the frequency coordinators and the Commission in which cochannel stations were granted at distances of more than 70 miles, but under circumstances of mountainous terrain in which the stations still cause harmful interference to one another. Therefore, any effort to add stations to the General Category channels would have a devastating effect on existing stations.

Airwave's experience with the lower frequencies is that they are already subject to a higher level of interference than the band 861-866 MHz. Radio stations in the Los Angeles area are concentrated at mountaintop locations from which they can provide effective communications service to mobile units at greater distances than in most communities. Consequently, radio stations in the Los Angeles have, for many years, suffered from essentially the same problem as the problem about which Nextel only now complains, namely, that mobile units of co-channel stations or adjacent channel stations may operate much closer to another system's base station than their own and cause interference to mobile units attempting to use the other system.

Airwave has long experienced exactly the same "near-far" problem, or, more precisely, the same signal level discrepancy problem, as Nextel uses as a hook for its spectrum snatching scheme. The difference is that Airwave has succeeded in living with the problem, while Nextel would prefer to have the Commission destroy its competitors than to be as realistic concerning the existing radio environment as its SMR competitors have been and must be.

Review of the Commission's actions concerning Nextel's request for waiver of its Rules shows that the Commission considered Nextel's needs and granted the relief which the Commission found that Nextel needed and which would serve the public interest. If Nextel went ahead with its system knowing that the relief provided was insufficient, then any problem which Nextel has encountered is entirely its own.

Review of the Commission's actions concerning Nextel's rule waiver request also show that the Commission took care to protect the flexibility and effective competitiveness of other SMR operators. To the extent that Nextel has found that it cannot live with its competitors if it does not impair their flexibility or effectiveness, Nextel has simply made a bad business decision and should live with the consequences of it. Nextel has no warrant at this time to request that the Commission impair its competitors in any way to allow Nextel to fix up a fatally flawed system.

Nextel was not forthcoming with whether Nextel recognized the problem on which it now bases its demand for relief prior to the time that it commenced construction of its ESMR system. A pioneering genius such as Nextel styles itself, Nextel comments at 34, should have recognized the problem from the beginning. The pioneers whose names we remember started their adventures with sufficient genius to recognize the obvious problems. We remember the name of Daniel Boone, who thought to take a knife into the deep, dark woods because he appreciated that there might be bears. We don't much remember Elihu Pharp, who failed to take the bears into account before setting out on his most excellent, and final adventure.

If Nextel failed to appreciate the problem which it now says bears on its situation, then Nextel deserves to live in memory as long as the ill-fated Pharp, for it has merely demonstrated that it lacks the technical qualifications to be the licensee of a pioneering ESMR system. If, on the other hand, Nextel has appreciated the problem for a long time, and waited to spring it on the Commission until it could hope that the Commission would feel pressed to help it without adequate time for full consideration, then Nextel has merely made its own peril and should be left to make its own remedy.

Nextel's technical problem appears to be that its existing choice of equipment does not work satisfactorily in a shared spectrum environment. Airwave is informed that the Ericsson General Electric Company is offering on the open market a digital technology which competes directly with the Motorola brand M.I.R.S. system and which does not suffer the same technical vulnerabilities as the Motorola system. Airwave is informed that the Ericsson General Electric system succeeds in avoiding the vulnerabilities of the Motorola brand system by allocating a higher level of power to each digitized voice channel, compared to the M.I.R.S. system. Unless Nextel can demonstrate that no alternative technology will allow it to operate a wide area SMR system in a shared frequency environment, the Commission should dismiss or disregard Nextel's suggested frequency reallocation plan.¹ Accordingly, the Commission should determine whether

Airwave recognizes that there is a close relationship between Nextel and Motorola. However, nothing in the Commission's Rules would prohibit Nextel from chosing a different vendor's equipment and nothing in sound business practice would prevent Nextel from selecting equipment which it can actually make operate in accord with the Commission's Rules, regardless of the other interests of any of its investors.

Nextel can solve its problem merely by selecting different equipment for its ESMR system. If so, then the Commission should leave Nextel to select suitable equipment for its own use, at a burden to no one other than Nextel.

At the root of Nextel's argument is the claim that "the overlap of licenses on these frequencies creates operational and licensing inefficiencies for Nextel or any ESMR operator vis a vis competing CMRS providers," Nextel comments at 10. Nextel indulges in the entirely unproved assumption that its competitors are the two systems in each market which are authorized in the Domestic Public Cellular Telecommunications Radio Service. While it is possible that Nextel may someday pose a competitive challenge to Cellular operators, at present it is authorized to operate as a competitor with other Specialized Mobile Radio Systems, many of which must share use of the channels for which they are authorized. Nextel may hope to grow up to be just like Big Daddy Cellular, but, at present it is nothing more than an overgrown kid SMR, and should be treated as nothing more than a playground bully who complains that he just can't play happily unless the other kids get off of "his" block.

There are distinct differences between Cellular service and Nextel's ESMR service.

Nextel is permitted to offer dispatch service to its customers, while Cellular systems are not permitted to offer dispatch service. Cellular system operators are authorized to make a profit on the telephone service with which their systems interconnect, while Nextel is not. Cellular operators have more than decade of experience in providing highly reliable service to the public. For these reasons, among others, it is clear that, however much Nextel might hope to become

the functional equivalent of a Cellular system it is, at this time, and will remain until Nextel is able to demonstrate a change, nothing more or less than a fancy SMR system.

Nextel has absolute regulatory parity with most of its SMR competitors. As to those with which it does not have absolute parity, Nextel has the clear advantage.² Since Nextel's primary competitors are other SMRs, and since Nextel already enjoys regulatory parity with its known competitors, there is no basis in law for the Commission to regulate Nextel as if it were a Cellular operator.

The Commission Is Under No Obligation To Revise The Rules Applicable To Nextel

There is no requirement, whatsoever, that the Commission revise its licensing procedures or frequency allocations to make ESMR regulation more like Cellular regulation, or vice-versa. Section 6002(d)(3)(B) of the Omnibus Budget Reconcilliation Act of 1993 provides that the Commission shall make such revisions and terminations in its regulations "as may be necessary and practical to assure that licensees in [of CMRS stations in the Private Radio Service] are subjected to the technical requirements that apply to licensees that are providers of substantially similar common carrier services." Nextel has not demonstrated that the services which it, in

ė

Nextel may actually have a regulatory advantage over many of its SMR competitors. For example, Nextel has been permitted to aggregate far more frequencies than its SMR competitors. Nextel's 800 MHz band systems are authorized for twice the channel bandwidth as its 900 MHz band competitors. In contrast to competing private carrier operators in the bands below 800 MHz, Nextel can obtain express authorization to trunk channels together. Nextel did not, however, suggest that it should lose any of these regulatory advantages over any of its existing competitors.

fact, provides as an ESMR operator are substantially similar to the services provided by Cellular operators. Even were the Commission to determine that Nextel's ESMR service is substantially similar to the service of DPCRTS operators, that would not mean that the Commission was required to reallocate frequencies solely to ESMR use. There are other, less disruptive steps which the Commission could take to provide for technical parity between ESMR and Cellular systems.

The Cost To Others Would Not Be In The Public Interest

The costs of changing the frequencies of existing SMR stations would far exceed the glossy treatment which Nextel afforded to the process. Nextel proposed to change the operating frequencies of radio equipment, but it offered nothing to compensate end user customers for their loss of time and profit potential. Nextel offered nothing to compensate competing SMRs for the loss of goodwill among their customers. Nextel offered nothing to operators such as Airwave which would suffer from any additional base stations on its frequencies in the Los Angeles area. Until such time as Nextel is able to present a plan compensating all affected persons for the full costs which Nextel's scheme would impose on them, the Commission should disregard Nextel's request.

Nextel Needs No Help From The Commission

A good pioneer makes sure that he has the resources which he will need on his trek. All Nextel needs to do is open its saddlebags and pull out the cash necessary to buy out those few remaining SMR operators in the old frequency band which it has not already bought out. If

Nextel finds itself in the position of not having packed enough victuals for its trek, then Nextel is free to turn around and go back to the starting location and do something else until it has a sufficient grubstake to carry out its ambitious plan. If Nextel's pioneering genius is everything which Nextel believes it to be, it needs no help from the Commission.

Conclusion

For all the foregoing reasons, Airwave respectfully requests that the Commission dismiss or deny the suggestion offered by Nextel's comments.

Respectfully submitted,
MARC SOBEL D/B/A
AIRWAVE COMMUNICATIONS

By

Dennis C. Brown

Brown and Schwaninger 1835 K Street, N.W. Suite 650

Washington, D.C. 20006

202/223-8837

Dated: July 11, 1994

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Nakia M. Marks, hereby certify that on this 11th day of July, 1994, I caused a copy of the attached Reply Comments to be served by hand delivery or first-class mail, postage prepaid to the following:

Chairman Reed E. Hundt Federal Communications Commission Room 814 1919 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner H. Quello Federal Communications Commission Room 802 1919 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner Andrew C. Barrett Federal Communications Commission Room 826 1919 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

3

Commissioner Susan P. Ness Federal Communications Commission Room 832 1919 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner Rachalle B. Chong Federal Communications Commission Room 844 1919 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Blair Levin
Federal Communications Commission
Room 814
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Karen Brinkmann
Federal Communications Commission
Room 814
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Rudolfo M. Baca Federal Communications Commission Room 802 1919 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Byron Marchant Federal Communications Commission Room 826 1919 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Jan Mago
Federal Communications Commission
Room 844
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Rosalind K. Allen
Federal Communications Commission
Room 832
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Ralph A. Haller Chief, Private Radio Bureau Room 5002 Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554 Beverly G. Baker Private Radio Bureau Federal Communications Commission Room 5002 2025 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

David Furth
Private Radio Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 5202
2025 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Ron Netro
Private Radio Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 5002
2025 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

A. Richard Metzger, Jr.
Acting Chief
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 500
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

ŝ

Gerald Vaugh
Common Carrier Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
Room 500
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

John Cimko
Mobile Service Division
Federal Communications Commission
Room 644
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Terry Fishel
Chief, Land Mobile Branch
Licensing Division
Federal Communications Commission
1270 Fairfield Road
Gettysburg, Pennsylvania 17325

Alan R. Shark
President
American Mobile Telecommunications
Association
1150 - 18th Street, NW, Suite 250
Washington, D.C. 20036

Elizabeth Sachs
Lukas, McGowan, Nace &
Gutierrez
Suite 700
1819 H Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20006

Mary Broomer
Mike Kennedy
Joe Vestel
Motorola, Inc.
Suite 400
1350 Eye Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20005

Mark Crosby ITA, Inc. Suite 500 1110 N. Glebe Road Arlington, Virginia 22201

Alan Tilles
Meyer, Faller, Weisman &
Rosenberg
Suite 380
4400 Jennifer Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20015

Leslie A. Taylor Leslie Taylor Associates 6800 Carlynn Court Bethesda, MD 20817

Robert S. Foosaner, VP Nextel Communication, Inc. 800 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 1001 Washington, DC 20006

Norman P. Leventhal Raul R. Rodriguez Levental, Senter & Lerman 2000 K Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20006

Gail L. Polivy 1850 M Street, NW Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036

Susan H-R. Jones Gardner, Carton & Douglas 1301 K Street, NW Suite 900 East Tower Washington, DC 20005

Cathlen A. Massey
McCaw Cellular, Inc.
1150 Connecticut Avenue, NW
4th Floor
Washington, DC 20036

William J. Franklin, Chartered 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 Frederick M. Joyce Christine McLaughlin Joyce & Jacobs 2300 M Street, NW Suite 130 Washington, DC 20037

Fredrick J. Day 1110 N Glebe Road Suite 500 Arlington, VA 22201

Thomas J. Caey
Jay L. Birnbaum
Timothy R. Robinson
Skaddon, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom
1440 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006

Wayne Black
Dorthy E. Cukier
Keller & Heckman
1001 G Street, NW
Suite 500 West
Washington DC 20001

Jay C. Keithley
Leon Kestenbaum
Sprint Corp.
1850 Street, NW
Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20036

Kevin Gallaher 8725 Higgins Road Chicago, IL 60631

Craig T. Smith P.O. Box 11315 Kansas City, MO 64112 Harold C. Davis
Smartlink Development LP
1269 S. Broad Street
Willingford, Connecticut 06492

W. Bruce Hanks, President Century Cellunet, Inc. 100 Century Park Avenue Monroe, LA 71203

Henry Goldberg
Jonathan L. wiener
Daniel s. Goldberg
Goldberg, Godles, Wiener & Wright
1229 19th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036

J. Barclay Jones, VP American Personal Communication 1025 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036

Mark J. O'Conner Mark J. Tanber Piper & Marbury 1200 19th Street, NW 7th Floor Washington, DC 20036

Jim O. Elewellyn William B. Barfield 1155 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3610

Charles P. Featherstun David G. Richards 1133 21st Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Robert A. Mazer Nixon, Hargrave, Devans & Doyle One Thomas Circle, NW Suite 800 Washington, DC 20005 William R. Miller Russ Miller Rental 3620 Byers Avenue Fort Worth, TX 76107

Michael Hirsch, VP External Affairs Geotek Communications 1200 19th Street, NW #607 Washington, DC 20036

Robin G. Nietert
Scott C. Cinnarion
Brown, Nietert & Kaufman, Chartered
1920 N Street, NW
Suite 660
Washington, DC 20036

Raymond G. Bender, Jr.
J.G. Harrington
Leonard J. Kennedy
Laura H. Phillips
Richard S. Dennins
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson
1255 23rd Street, NW
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20037

Gerald S. McGowan
George L. Lyon, Jr.
Thomas Gutierrez
David A. LaFuria
Lukas, McGowan, Nace & Gutierrez,
Chartered
1819 H Street, NW
7th Floor
Washington, DC 20006

Stephen G. Kraskin Cardessa D. Bennet Karskin & Associates 2120 L Street, NW Suite 810 Washington, DC 20037 Richard Rubin Fleishmann & Walsh 1400 16th Street, NW Sutie 600 Washington, DC 20036

Elliot J. Greenwald Howard C. Griboff Fisher, Wayland, Cooper, Leader, & Zaraguza, L.L.P 2001 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006

Lon C. Levin, VP American Mobile Satellite Corp. 10802 Parkridge Boulevard Reston, VA 22091

Andrea S. Miano Reed, Smith, Swaw & McClay 1200 18th Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Thomas J. Keller
Verner, Liipthert, Bernhard, McPherson &
Hand, Chartered
901 15th Street, NW
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20005

Robert Fay Police Emergency Radio Service, Inc. 82 Herbert Street Franinham, MA 01701

Alan C. Campbell, Pres. PCBA 1722 Eye Street, NW Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 Donald J. Elardo
Larry A. Blooser
Gregory F. Intoccia
1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20006

Frank Michael Panek 2000 W Ameritech Center Drive Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

James Bradford Ramsay 102 Commerce Commission Building Constitution Avenue, & 12th St., NW Washington, DC 20423

Daryl L. Avery
DC Public Service Commission
450 5th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001

David A. Reams, Pres. Grand Broadcasting P.O. Box 502 Perryburg, OH 43552

Anne P. Jones Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC

Edward R. Wholl 120 Bloomingdale Road White Plains, NY 10605

Albert H. Kramer
Robert F. Aldrich
David B. Jeppsen
Keck, Mahin & Cate
1201 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20005-3919

David Cosson 2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20037 Martin T. McCul, VP 900 19th Street, NW Suite 800 Washington, DC 20006

Michael J. Shortley, III 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646

Jan M. Reed Route 5, Box 180-W Crossville, TN 38555

Terrence P. McGarty
Telmarc Telecommunication
265 Franklin Street
Suite 1102
Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Corporate Technology Partners 100 S. Ellsworth Avenue, 9th Floor San Mateo, CA 94401

Rodney Joyce Ginsburg, Feldman & Bress 1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036

Ellen S. Levine
CA Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Joel Levy Cohn & Marks 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036

Thomas A. Strovy Mark Golden Telocator 1019 19th Street, NW Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20036 Richard M. Tettlebaum Gurman, Kurtis, Blask & Freedman, Chartered 1400 16th Street, NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036

Carl Northrop Bryan Cave 700 13th Street, NW Suite 700 Washignotn, DC 20005

Koteen & Naftalin 1150 Connecticut Avenue Washington, DC 20036

W. Bruce Hanks, Pres. Century Cellunet, Inc. 100 Century Park Avenue Monroe, LA 71203

Linda Sadler Rockwell International Corp. 1745 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, VA 22202

G.A. Gorman North Pittsburgh Telephone Company 4008 Gibsonia Road Gibsonia, PA 15044-9311

Penny Rubin
State of New York Department of Public
Service
Three Empire State Plaza
Albany, NY 12223

David Jones
Government and Industry Affairs
Committee
2120 L Street, NW Suite 810
Washington, DC 20037

Michael Carper General Counsel OneComm Suite 500 4643 S. Ulster Street Denver, Colorado 80237

Bill Dekay
Dial Page
Suite 700
301 College Street
Greensville, South Carolina 29603-0767

Russell H. Fox Gardner, Carton & Douglas Suite 900, East Tower 1301 K Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20005

Willard K. Shaw Mobile Radio Communications 2226 Vista Valley Lane Vista, California 92084

Carole C. Harris Christine M. Gill Tamara Y. Davis Keller & Heckman 1001 G Street, NW Suite 500 West Washington, DC 20001

David C. Jatlow Young & Jatlow 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20037

Donald M. Mukai Jeffry S. Bork U.S. West, Inc. 1020 19th Street, NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 Paul J. Feldman Fletcher, Heald & Heldreth 11th Floor 1300 North 17th Street Rosslyn, Virginia 22209

Jeffery L. Sheldon Sean A. Stokes 1140 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 1140 Washington, DC 20036

Brian Kidney
Pamela Riley
425 Market Street
San Francisco, California 94108

Kenneth G. Starling Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004

David A. Gross Kathleen D. Abernathy 1818 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

John T. Scott, III Charon J. Harris William D. Wallace Crowell & Moring 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004

Philip L. Spector Susan E. Ryan Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison 1615 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

William J. Balcerski
Edward R. Wholi
120 Bloomingdale Road
White Plains, New York 10605

Michael Hirsch 1200 19th Street, NW Suite 607 Washington, DC 20036

David Hill
Audrey Rasmussen
O'Conner & Hannan
1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20006-3483

John Lane Robert Gurss Wikes, Artis, Hedrick & Lane, Chartered 1666 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006

Robert B. Kelly
Douglas Povich
Kelly, Hunter, Mow & Povich, P.C.
1133 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington DC 20036

Corwin Moore, Jr.
Personal Radio Steering Group
P.O. Box 2851
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

Marjorie Esman Hardy and Carey 111 Veterans Boulevard Metaire, LA 70005

Shirley Puji Moto Brian Turner Ashby Keller and Heckman 1001 G Street NW Washington, DC 20001

Kathy Shobert
Director of Federal Regulatory Affairs
888 16th Street, NW Suite 600
Washington, DC 20006

Nakia Marks

Makia M. Mars